

ECFS - Email Filing

<PROCEEDING>96-45

<DATE>02/08/2005

<NAME>Bev Armstrong

<ADDRESS1>

<ADDRESS2> 1225 Old Colchester

<CITY>Oakdale

<STATE>CT

<ZIP>06370

<LAW-FIRM>

<ATTORNEY>

<FILE-NUMBER>

<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC

<PHONE-NUMBER>

<DESCRIPTION>

<CONTACT-EMAIL>bevandlouarmstrong@msn.com

<TEXT>I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair! It's always about the wealthy. They want the lower and middle class to always pay more fees and taxes to keep their share lower. The American people are sick and tired of fees, fees, and more fees. Everyone has to get a fee for something and it's about time to bring this to a halt. I thought this was a free country, not a fee country. Sincerely Bev Armstrong

Sincerely,

Bev Armstrong

1225 Old Colchester Rd

Oakdale, Connecticut 06370